arXiv:1412.2801v1 [math.RT] 8 Dec 2014

# Consimilarity and quaternion matrix equations $AX - \hat{X}B = C$, $X - A\hat{X}B = C$*

Tatiana Klimchuk
Kiev National Taras Shevchenko University
Kiev, Ukraine, klimchuk.tanya@gmail.com

Vladimir V. Sergeichuk
Institute of Mathematics, Tereshchenkivska 3
Kiev, Ukraine, sergeich@imath.kiev.ua

**Abstract**

L. Huang [Consimilarity of quaternion matrices and complex matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 331 (2001) 21–30] gave a canonical form of a quaternion matrix with respect to consimilarity transformations $A \mapsto \tilde{S}^{-1}AS$ in which $S$ is a nonsingular quaternion matrix and

$$h = a + bi + cj + dk \;\mapsto\; \tilde{h} := a - bi + cj - dk \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We give an analogous canonical form of a quaternion matrix with respect to consimilarity transformations $A \mapsto \hat{S}^{-1}AS$ in which $h \mapsto \hat{h}$ is an arbitrary involutive automorphism of the skew field of quaternions. We apply the obtained canonical form to the quaternion matrix equations $AX - \hat{X}B = C$ and $X - A\hat{X}B = C$.

*AMS classification:* 15A21; 15A24; 15B33

*Keywords:* Quaternion matrices; Consimilarity; Matrix equations

## 1 Introduction

We give a canonical form of a square quaternion matrix with respect to *consimilarity transformations* $A \mapsto \hat{S}^{-1}AS$ in which $S$ is a nonsingular quaternion matrix and $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$ is a fixed involutive automorphism of the skew

* *Special Matrices* 2 (2014) 180–186 http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/spma

field of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$, and apply it to the quaternion matrix equations $AX - \hat{X}B = C$ and $X - A\hat{X}B = C$.

A canonical form of a square complex matrix $A$ with respect to consimilarity transformations

$$A \mapsto \bar{S}^{-1}AS \qquad (S \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n} \text{ is nonsingular}) \tag{1}$$

was given by Hong and Horn [4] (see also [5, Theorem 4.6.12]): $A$ is consimilar to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of direct summands, of matrices of the following two types:

$$J_k(a)\ (a \in \mathbb{R},\ a \geqslant 0), \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ J_k(a+bi) & 0 \end{bmatrix}\ (a, b \in \mathbb{R},\ a < 0 \text{ if } b = 0) \tag{2}$$

in which

$$J_k(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

is the $k \times k$ Jordan block with eigenvalue $\lambda$.

Huang [7] defined consimilarity transformations of square quaternion matrices

$$A \mapsto \tilde{S}^{-1}AS \qquad (S \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n} \text{ is nonsingular}) \tag{4}$$

via the involution

$$h = a + bi + cj + dk \ \mapsto\ \tilde{h} := -jhj = a - bi + cj - dk \quad (a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}); \tag{5}$$

on the skew field of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$; we suggest to call (4) *$j$-consimilarity transformations* since $\tilde{S} = -jSj$. (The main reason why consimilarity transformations of quaternion matrices are not defined via the quaternion conjugation $h \mapsto \bar{h} = a - bi - cj - dk$ is that the transformations $A \mapsto \bar{S}^T AS$ are not transitive.)

Huang [7, Theorem 1] noticed that two quaternion matrices

$$A \text{ and } B \text{ are } j\text{-consimilar} \iff jA \text{ and } jB \text{ are similar}, \tag{6}$$

which holds since $\tilde{S}^{-1}AS = -jS^{-1}j \cdot AS = B$ if and only if $S^{-1}jAS = jB$. This statement admitted him to deduce a canonical form of quaternion matrices

under $j$-consimilarity from the canonical form for similarity. Huang's canonical form given in [7, Theorem 3] is a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of Jordan blocks

$$J_k(a+bj) \qquad (a,b\in\mathbb{R},\ a\geqslant 0). \tag{7}$$

The definition of consimilarity transformations via (5) looks special. We show in Lemma 1 that all consimilarity transformations defined via involutive automorphisms of $\mathbb{H}$ are transformed from one to each other by reselections of the set of orthogonal imaginary units $i,j,k$. Thus, we can use an involutive automorphism for which the formulas of the theory of consimilarity transformations are simpler.

Huang [7] and other authors (see, for example, [10, 15, 16, 17, 20]) study the $j$-consimilarity transformations (4). These transformations act on complex matrices as the consimilarity transformations (1). We suggest to define consimilarity transformations of quaternion matrices extending the similarity transformations of complex matrices. For this purpose, we define *$i$-consimilarity transformations* $\hat{S}^{-1}AS$ of quaternion matrices via the automorphism

$$h=a+bi+cj+dk \ \mapsto\ \hat{h}:=-ihi=a+bi-cj-dk \quad (a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{R}).$$

Our goal is to show that $i$-consimilarity transformations are more convenient for use than $j$-consimilarity transformations (4):

- Most properties of $j$-consimilarity transformations of quaternion matrices are closer to properties of similarity transformations of complex matrices than to properties of consimilarity transformations of complex matrices (see (6) and compare the canonical forms given in (2) and (7)).

- If $A=U+Vj$, in which $U,V\in\mathbb{H}^{m\times n}$, then $\hat{A}=U-Vj$ (compare with $\tilde{A}=\bar{U}+\bar{V}j$ in [20]), which admits us to study similarity and $i$-consimilarity transformations of quaternion matrices simultaneously in Sections 2 and 3.

- The canonical form in Theorem 3 of a quaternion matrix for $i$-consimilarity transformations $\hat{S}^{-1}AS$ is a complex matrix (compare with (7)); in Section 3 we apply it to the quaternion matrix equations $AX-\hat{X}B=C$ and $X-A\hat{X}B=C$, reducing them to complex matrix equations.

## 2 A canonical form for $i$-consimilarity

Consimilarity transformations come from the theory of semilinear operators (which is presented, for example, in Jacobson's book [8, Chapter 3, Section 12]). It is worth mention that the fundamental theorem of projective geometry is formulated in terms of semilinear maps between vector spaces.

Let $V$ be a right vector space over a field or skew field $\mathbb{F}$ with a fixed automorphism $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{F}$. A map $\mathcal{A}: V \to V$ is a *semilinear operator* if

$$\mathcal{A}(v+w) = \mathcal{A}v + \mathcal{A}w, \qquad \mathcal{A}(v\alpha) = (\mathcal{A}v)\hat{\alpha}$$

for all $v, w \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. If $A$ is the matrix of $\mathcal{A}$ in some basis and $[v]$ is the coordinate vector of $v \in V$, then $[\mathcal{A}v] = A\widehat{[v]}$. By transfer to other bases the matrix $A$ is reduced by transformations

$$A \mapsto C^T A \hat{C} \qquad (C \text{ is the change of basis matrix}). \tag{8}$$

For the most important types of automorphisms $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$, Jacobson [8, Chapter 3, Theorem 34] reduced the problem of classifying matrices with respect to transformations (8) to the problem of classifying matrices with respect to similarity.

All automorphisms $h \mapsto \hat{h}$ on $\mathbb{H}$ that we consider are *involutive*; that is, $\hat{\hat{h}} = h$ for all $h \in \mathbb{H}$.

**Lemma 1.** *If $h \mapsto \hat{h}$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$, then either it is identical, or the set of orthogonal imaginary units $i, j, k$ can be chosen such that*

$$\hat{h} = h^i := i^{-1}hi = -ihi = a + bi - cj - dk \tag{9}$$

*for each $h = a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathbb{H}$ $(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R})$.*

*Proof.* By the Skolem–Noether theorem ([18, Theorem 2.1] or [11, Theorem 2.41]), every automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ is of the form

$$q \mapsto q^\sigma := \sigma^{-1} q \sigma \qquad \text{for some fixed nonzero } \sigma \in \mathbb{H}.$$

This automorphism is involutive if and only if $\sigma^{-2} q \sigma^2 = q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{H}$, if and only if $\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Multiplying $\sigma$ by a positive number (which does not change the automorphism), we get $\sigma^2 = \pm 1$. Write $\sigma = a + b\tau$, in which $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \notin \mathbb{R}$, and $|\tau| = 1$. Since $\tau^2 = -1$, $\sigma^2 = (a^2 - b^2) + 2ab\tau = \pm 1$. Hence $ab = 0$.

If $\sigma^2 = 1$, then $b = 0$, $a^2 = 1$, $\sigma = a = \pm 1$, and the automorphism $q \mapsto q^\sigma$ is the identity.

Let $\sigma^2 = -1$. Then $a = 0$, $b^2 = 1$, $b = \pm 1$, and so $\sigma = \pm\tau$. Recall that the space of pure quaternions can be identified with the vector space $\mathbb{R}^3$; the product of pure quaternions $u$ and $v$ can be represented in the form $uv = (u, v) + u \times v$ in which $(u, v)$ is the usual inner (scalar) product and $u \times v$ is the vector cross product. Reselecting the imaginary units $i$, $j$, $k$, we set $i := \tau$, take as $j$ any pure quaternion such that $|j| = 1$ and $(i, j) = 0$ (i.e, $j$ is any imaginary unit that is perpendicular to $i$), put $k := ij$, and obtain the automorphism (9). □

The automorphism (9) can be written in the form

$$h = u + vj \;\mapsto\; h^i = u - vj \qquad (u, v \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus, $h^\sigma = u + \sigma^2 v$ for $\sigma \in \{1, i\}$ and all $h \in \mathbb{H}$. This admits us to study similarity and consimilarity transformations simultaneously using the following definition.

Let $\sigma \in \{1, i\}$. By *$\sigma$-consimilarity transformations of quaternion matrices* we mean the transformations

$$A \mapsto S^{-\sigma} A S := (\sigma^{-1} S^{-1} \sigma) A S = \begin{cases} S^{-1} A S & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ -i S^{-1} i A S & \text{if } \sigma = i, \end{cases}$$

in which $S$ is nonsingular. It suffices to study $\sigma$-consimilarity transformations since by Lemma 1 each involutive automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ has the form $h \mapsto h^\sigma = \sigma^{-1} h \sigma$ ($\sigma \in \{1, i\}$) in suitable $i, j, k$.

**Lemma 2** (cf. [7, Theorem 1])**.** *The following statements are equivalent for $A, B \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n}$:*

(i) *$A$ and $B$ are $i$-consimilar;*

(ii) *$iA$ and $iB$ are similar;*

(iii) *$iA$ and $Bi$ are similar;*

(iv) *$Ai$ and $Bi$ are similar.*

*Proof.* (i)⇔(ii) since $S^{-i} A S = -i S^{-1} i \cdot A S = B \;\Leftrightarrow\; S^{-1} i A S = iB$.

(ii)⇔(iii) since $i^{-i} i B i = B i$.

(iii)⇔(iv) since $i^{-i} i A i = A i$. □

**Theorem 3** (cf. [7, Theorem 3]). *Each square quaternion matrix $A$ is $\sigma$-consimilar ($\sigma \in \{1, i\}$) to a complex matrix that is a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of Jordan blocks*

$$J_k(a+bi), \qquad a,b \in \mathbb{R}, \ \begin{cases} b \geqslant 0 & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ a \geqslant 0 & \text{if } \sigma = i. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Wiegmann [19, Theorem 1] proved (see also Zhang's survey [21, Theorem 6.4]) that each square quaternion matrix $A$ is similar to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of Jordan blocks $J_k(a+bi)$, $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \geqslant 0$. Using Lemma 2, we get the desired Jordan canonical form of quaternion matrices for $i$-consimilarity. □

# 3 Quaternion matrix equations $AX - \hat{X}B = C$ and $X - A\hat{X}B = C$

In this section, we consider the quaternion matrix equations

$$AX - \hat{X}B = C, \qquad X - A\hat{X}B = C,$$

in which $A \in \mathbb{H}^{m\times m}$, $B \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{H}^{m\times n}$ and $h \mapsto \hat{h}$ is an arbitrary involutive automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$. These equations for the automorphism (5) are studied in [10, 15, 16, 17, 20] mainly by means of the replacement of $p\times q$ quaternion matrices with the corresponding $2p \times 2q$ complex matrices or $4p \times 4q$ real matrices. We use the consimilarity canonical form from Theorem 3 (in a similar way, Bevis, Hall, and Hartwig [1] studied the complex matrix equation $A\bar{X} - XB = C$ using the consimilarity canonical form of complex matrices).

By Lemma 1, we can suppose that $\hat{h} = h^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \{1, i\}$ and all $h \in \mathbb{H}$, and get the equations

$$AX - X^\sigma B = C, \qquad X - AX^\sigma B = C \quad (\sigma \in \{1, i\}). \tag{10}$$

For all nonsingular $S \in \mathbb{H}^{m\times m}$ and $R \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n}$, these equations are equivalent to

$$S^{-\sigma}ASS^{-1}XR - S^{-\sigma}X^\sigma R^\sigma R^{-\sigma}BR = S^{-\sigma}CR,$$
$$S^{-\sigma}XR - S^{-\sigma}ASS^{-1}X^\sigma R^\sigma R^{-\sigma}BR = S^{-\sigma}CR.$$

Taking $S$ and $R$ such that $S^{-\sigma}AS$ and $R^{-\sigma}BR$ are the complex canonical forms of $A$ and $B$ determined by Theorem 3, we obtain the matrix equations that are considered in the following simple theorem and its corollaries.

**Theorem 4.** *Let the quaternion matrix equations* (10) *be given by complex matrices $A$ and $B$ and a quaternion matrix $C = C_1 + C_2 j$ $(C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n})$. Then the sets of solutions of the equations* (10) *consist of all matrices $X = X_1 + X_2 j$ in which $X_1$ and $X_2$ are complex matrices satisfying*

$$AX_1 - X_1 B = C_1, \qquad AX_2 - \sigma^2 X_2 \bar{B} = C_2 \tag{11}$$

*for the first equation in* (10) *and, respectively,*

$$X_1 - AX_1 B = C_1, \qquad X_2 - \sigma^2 AX_2 \bar{B} = C_2 \tag{12}$$

*for the second equation in* (10)*.*

*Proof.* Write $X = X_1 + X_2 j$ and $C = C_1 + C_2 j$ $(X_1, X_2, C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n})$. Then $X^i = X_1 - X_2 j$, $X^\sigma = X_1 + \sigma^2 X_2 j$, and the equations (10) take the form

$$\begin{array}{l} A(X_1 + X_2 j) - (X_1 + \sigma^2 X_2 j)B = C_1 + C_2 j, \\ (X_1 + X_2 j) - A(X_1 + \sigma^2 X_2 j)B = C_1 + C_2 j. \end{array} \tag{13}$$

Since $A$ and $B$ are complex matrices and $jB = \bar{B}j$, the quaternion matrix equations (13) are partitioned into two pairs of complex matrix equations (11) and (12). □

The theory of complex matrix equations $AX - XB = C$ and $X - AXB = C$ was developed in [2, Chapter 18], [3, Chapter VIII], [6, Section 4.4], [14, Sections 12.3 and 12.5], [9, 12, 13], and in many other books and articles.

**Corollary 5.** *Let us apply Theorem 4 to the quaternion matrix equation $AX - X^\sigma B = C$ with $\sigma \in \{1, i\}$. Let*

$$A = J_{k_1}(\lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{k_p}(\lambda_p), \quad B = J_{l_1}(\mu_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{l_q}(\mu_q) \quad (\text{all } \lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{14}$$

*be two complex Jordan matrices* (*for instance, canonical forms from Theorem 3 of quaternion matrices with respect to $\sigma$-consimilarity*)*. Write*

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma := \{\lambda_1 \dots, \lambda_p\} \cap \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q, \sigma^2\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \sigma^2\bar{\mu}_q\}. \tag{15}$$

(a) *The following statements hold:*

- *If $\mathcal{M}_\sigma = \varnothing$, then $AX - X^\sigma B = C$ has a unique solution.*

- *If $\mathcal{M}_\sigma \neq \varnothing$, then two cases may arise: either $AX - X^\sigma B = C$ has no solutions, or the set of its solutions is infinite and consists of all matrices $X_\circ + Y$ in which $X_\circ$ is a fixed particular solution of $AX - X^\sigma B = C$ and $Y$ runs over all solutions of $AY - Y^\sigma B = 0$.*

(b) *The set of solutions of $AY - Y^\sigma B = 0$ is described as follows. Let us partition $Y$ into blocks in accordance with the partitions of $A$ and $B$:*

$$Y = [Y_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha=1\,\beta=1}^{p\quad q}, \qquad Y_{\alpha\beta} \text{ is } k_\alpha \times l_\beta. \tag{16}$$

*Then the set of solutions of $AY - Y^\sigma B = 0$ consists of all quaternion matrices of the form $U + Vj$, in which*

- *$U = [U_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha=1\,\beta=1}^{p\quad q}$ and $V = [V_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha=1\,\beta=1}^{p\quad q}$ are complex matrices that are partitioned conformally to $Y$,*
- *$U_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ if $\lambda_\alpha \neq \mu_\beta$,*
- *$V_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ if $\lambda_\alpha \neq \sigma^2\bar{\mu}_\beta$,*
- *the other $U_{\alpha\beta}$ and $V_{\alpha\beta}$ have the form*

$$\begin{bmatrix} & a & b & \ddots & d \\ & & a & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & b \\ 0 & & & & a \end{bmatrix} \quad or \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b & \ddots & d \\ & a & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & b \\ & & & a \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (a, b, \ldots, d \in \mathbb{C})$$

*if the number of rows is less than or equal to the number of columns or, respectively, the number of rows is greater than the number of columns (we write the off-diagonal units in all Jordan blocks over the diagonal; see (3)).*

*Proof.* The statement (a) follows from Theorem 4 since by [3, Chapter VIII, § 3] a complex matrix equation $MX - XN = P$ with square matrices $M$ and $N$ has a unique complex solution if and only if $M$ and $N$ do not have common eigenvalues; otherwise it is contradictory or it has an infinite number of solutions.

The statement (b) follows from Theorem 4 and from the description in [3, Chapter VIII, § 1] of the set of all complex matrices $Y$ such that $MY = YN$ in which $M$ and $N$ are complex Jordan matrices. □

*Example* 3.1. Let us consider the quaternion matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} X - X^{\sigma} \begin{bmatrix} i & 1 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -k & j \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (\sigma \in \{1, i\}). \tag{17}$$

Its set (15) is $\{i\}$. Its particular solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } \sigma = 1, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } \sigma = i.$$

To solve the corresponding equation in which the right-hand part is zero:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} Y - Y^{\sigma} \begin{bmatrix} i & 1 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{18}$$

we write $Y = U + Vj$ ($U$ and $V$ are complex matrices) and partition it as in (16):

$$Y = \left[\begin{array}{c} Y_{11} \\ \hline Y_{21} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} U_{11} \\ \hline U_{21} \end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{c} V_{11} \\ \hline V_{21} \end{array}\right] j.$$

Replacing these blocks by the corresponding pairs of eigenvalues (in the notation of Corollary 5(b)), we get

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} U_{11} \\ \hline U_{21} \end{array}\right] \mapsto \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1, & \mu_1 \\ \hline \lambda_2, & \mu_1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0, & i \\ \hline i, & i \end{array}\right], \qquad \left[\begin{array}{c} V_{11} \\ \hline V_{21} \end{array}\right] \mapsto \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1, & \delta^2\bar{\mu}_1 \\ \hline \lambda_2, & \delta^2\bar{\mu}_1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0, & -\sigma^2 i \\ \hline i, & -\sigma^2 i \end{array}\right].$$

By Corollary 5, the set of solutions of (18) consists of all matrices

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] j \text{ if } \sigma = 1, \qquad \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array}\right] j \text{ if } \sigma = i,$$

in which the stars denote complex numbers, and the set of solutions of (17) consists of all matrices

$$X = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} -j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \ (c \in \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} \ (h \in \mathbb{H}) & \text{if } \sigma = i. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 6.** *Let us apply Theorem 4 to the quaternion matrix equation* $X - AX^{\sigma}B = C$ *in which* $\sigma \in \{1, i\}$ *and* $A, B$ *are the matrices* (14). *Write*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma} := \{\lambda_1^{-1} \dots, \lambda_p^{-1}\} \cap \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q, \sigma^2\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \sigma^2\bar{\mu}_q\}$$

*in which* $0^{-1} := \infty$.

*The following statements hold:*

- *If* $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma} = \varnothing$, *then* $X - AX^{\sigma}B = C$ *has a unique solution.*
- *If* $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma} \neq \varnothing$, *then two cases may arise: either* $X - AX^{\sigma}B = C$ *has no solutions, or the set of its solutions is infinite and consists of all matrices* $X_{\circ}+Y$ *in which* $X_{\circ}$ *is a fixed particular solution of* $X-AX^{\sigma}B = C$ *and* $Y$ *runs over all solutions of* $Y - AY^{\sigma}B = 0$.

*Proof.* These statements hold since by [2, Theorem 18.2] a complex matrix equation $X-MXN = P$ with square $M$ and $N$ has a unique complex solution if and only if $\lambda\mu \neq 1$ for each eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $M$ and for each eigenvalue $\mu$ of $N$. □

**Conclusion:** In all the papers that we know, the consimilarity of quaternion matrices is defined via the automorphism $h \mapsto -jhj$. We show that the consimilarity defined via $h \mapsto -ihi$ is more convenient for studying. All consimilarities of quaternion matrices defined via involutive automorphisms are reduced each other by reselecting of orthogonal imaginary units $i, j, k$ in $\mathbb{H}$.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors gratefully acknowledge the referees for their constructive advices.

# References

[1] J.H. Bevis, F.J. Hall, R.E. Hartwig, Consimilarity and the matrix equation $A\bar{X} - XB = C$, Current Trends in Matrix Theory (Auburn, Ala., 1986), North-Holland, New York, 1987, pp. 51–64.

[2] H. Dym, Linear Algebra in Action, American Mathematical Society, 2007.

[3] F.R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1959.

[4] Y.P. Hong, R.A. Horn, A canonical form for matrices under consimilarity, Linear Algebra Appl. 102 (1988) 143–168.

[5] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.

[6] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Topics in Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[7] L. Huang, Consimilarity of quaternion matrices and complex matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 331 (2001) 21–30.

[8] N. Jacobson, The Theory of Rings, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1943.

[9] T. Jiang, M. Wei, On solutions of the matrix equations $X - AXB = C$ and $X - A\bar{X}B = C$, Linear Algebra Appl. 367 (2003) 225–233.

[10] T.S. Jiang, M.S. Wei, On a solution of the quaternion matrix equation $X - A\tilde{X}B = C$ and its application, Acta Math. Sin. 21 (2005) 483–490.

[11] A.W. Knapp, Advanced Algebra, Birkhaüser, 2007.

[12] P. Lancaster, Explicit solutions of linear matrix equations, SIAM Review 12 (1970) 544–566.

[13] P. Lancaster, L. Lerer, Factored forms for solutions of $AX - XB = C$ and $X - AXB = C$ in companion matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 62 (1984) 19–49.

[14] P. Lancaster, M. Tismenetsky, The Theory of Matrices with Applications, 2nd ed., Academic Press, 1985.

[15] C. Song, G. Chen, On solutions of matrix equation $XF - AX = C$ and $XF - A\tilde{X} = C$ over quaternion field, J. Appl. Math. Comput. 37 (2011) 57–68.

[16] C.Q. Song, G.L. Chen, Q.B. Liu, Explicit solutions to the quaternion matrix equations $X - AXF = C$ and $X - A\tilde{X}F = C$, Int. J. Comput. Math. 89 (2012) 890–900.

[17] C. Song, J. Feng, X. Wang, J. Zhao, A real representation method for solving Yakubovich-$j$-conjugate quaternion matrix equation, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Art. ID 285086, 9 pp.

[18] M.-F. Vignéras, Arithmétique des Algèbres de Quaternions, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[19] N.A. Wiegmann, Some theorems on matrices with real quaternion elements, Canad. J. Math. 7 (1955) 191–201.

[20] S.F. Yuan, A.P. Liao, Least squares solution of the quaternion matrix equation $X - A\hat{X}B = C$ with the least norm, Linear Multilinear Algebra 59 (2011) 985–998.

[21] F. Zhang, Quaternions and matrices of quaternions, Linear Algebra Appl. 251 (1997) 21-57.